UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,194	12/22/2004	Nobuaki Yagi	040894-7146	6809
, - -	7590	_	EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE N		LOPEZ, MICHELLE	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/519,194	YAGI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michelle Lopez	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 O	ctober 2008.				
,	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea		- d			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ea.			
Attachment(s)	4) Intomious Comment	(/DTO 412)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 10/519,194 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on October 14, 2008.

2. Upon further consideration, the indicated allowability of claims 2-5, in the final action mailed on 5/14/08, is hereby withdrawn.

3. Accordingly, the finality of the Office Action mailed on 5/14/08 is hereby also withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The scope of claims 2-5 cannot be clearly understood. i.e. the claims recite a lock mechanism of a table of an electric stapler, yet further claim details of the electric stapler, therefore it is unclear as to whether applicant is claiming a combination of an electric stapler and a lock mechanism, or just the lock mechanism. If applicant is claiming the combination, then the preamble should so state.

Also, in claims 2, 4, and 5, the limitation "wherein a pivoting force in an opening direction of the table" is indefinite in that it is functional and it lacks structure defining the table as to be pivotally supported to the main body frame. Clarification is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/519,194

Art Unit: 3721

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshie US 6634536 in view of Akizawa et al. US 5009355. Yoshie discloses an electric stapler with a lock mechanism comprising: a magazine portion (600), a staple striking portion (500), a table (210) pivotally supported by a main body frame and including a pivotally clincher mechanism (200), and a wing piece (212) formed on each side of the table (as shown in figs. 48A-48C); locking means formed between each wing piece of the table and the main body frame, wherein a pivoting force in an opening direction of the table is hampered by engaging the locking means with each wing piece of the table (as shown in figs. 70-72). Although Yoshie's locking means comprises a lock plate (251) supported by the main body frame at one end and including a locking pin (32) at the other end (note that "including" doesn't necessarily means that the locking pin (32) needs to attached to the lock plate), Yoshie fails to disclose wherein the locking pin engages with the wing piece, wherein by engaging the locking pin with the wing piece will hamper the pivoting force in the opening direction of the table is hampered. Akizawa shows an electric stapler having a main body frame (1), a table (4) having a wing piece (including a slot 16) formed on each side of the table (as shown in fig. 2), locking means including a lock plate (6) and a locking pin (17), wherein when the table is moved downwardly to strike a staple by the actuation of a drive shaft (12) and cam member (13), the locking pin (17) engages a lower portion of the wing piece slot (16), thereby, restricting upward movement of the table until further actuation of the drive shaft and cam member occurs. In view of Akizawa, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided Yoshie's locking means with a lock plate and pin as taught by

Application/Control Number: 10/519,194 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

Akizawa in order to efficiently hamper the pivoting force of the table in an upward and/or opening direction.

With respect to claim 3, Akizawa shows an operating piece 12 and an operating cam 13.

Additionally, the language in claim 3, last paragraph, "wherein, when the operated cam is rotated to separate from the operating piece, by rotating the lock plate by a spring" is functional and afforded light weight because it is predicated on a future act. Furthermore, the functional language is no supported by sufficient structure to perform the rotation of the cam and lock plate.

With respect to claims 4-5, the modified invention of Akizawa fails to disclose wherein the locking means comprises an eccentric cam (claim 4) or locking teeth engageable with engaging teeth, i.e. teeth and rack configuration (claim 5) rather than a locking pin. However, the use of a locking means as an eccentric cam (i.e. a cam member having an engageable projecting portion equivalent to a pin) and a teeth and rack configuration is well known in the art and the Examiner takes official notice that their use is common knowledge in the locks art, for example, for the purpose of providing efficient lock means easy to manufacture and low in cost.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/519,194 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michelle Lopez whose telephone number is 571-272-4464. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday: 8:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ML/

Patent Examiner

/Rinaldi I Rada/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3721